

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

District of \_\_\_\_\_

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

JARROD WILLIAMS,*Defendant*

## ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Case Number: 07-233-M

In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.

## Part I—Findings of Fact

- (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a  federal offense  state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed - that is  a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).  
 an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.  
 an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in \_\_\_\_\_.\*
- a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.
- (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.
- (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the  date of conviction  release of the defendant from imprisonment for the offense described in finding (1).
- (4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.

## Alternative Findings (A)

- (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in \_\_\_\_\_ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).
- (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.

## Alternative Findings (B)

- X (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.  
X (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.



## Part II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention

I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by X clear and convincing evidence X a preponderance of the evidence that

The Defendant waived his right to contest pretrial detention. Based on the affidavit of probable cause, and the report of the Probation Office, the Court finds clear and convincing evidence that no combination of conditions could reasonably assure the safety of the community between now and the time of the Defendant's trial. The Court further finds that no combination of conditions could reasonably assure that the Defendant would appear for all Court events in this matter.

The Court has reached these conclusions based on the following findings and for the following reasons:

the nature and circumstances of the offense: the Defendant is accused of being a felon in possession of a firearm.

the weight of the evidence: is strong. After threatening to shoot a security employee, WPD officers questioned the Defendant, who stated he had "a heater," which they took to mean a firearm. The officers then found a Davis .32 caliber loaded pistol in the Defendant's front pants pocket.

the history and characteristics of the Defendant: although having ties to the community, and having steady employment, the Defendant also has at least four prior convictions, including for possession of a firearm by a prohibited person and possession of a firearm during commission of a felony. He has at least six failures to appear for court events and a capias.

the nature and seriousness of the danger to the community that would be posed by the Defendant's release: as a felon in possession, with prior convictions involving guns (and others involving drugs), and as an individual who has not fared successfully under previous efforts of judicial supervision, the Defendant would pose a serious danger to the community if released.

**Part III—Directions Regarding Detention**

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

November 29, 2007

Date

*Signature of Judge***Leonard P. Stark U.S. Magistrate***Name and Title of Judge*

\*Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 *et seq.*); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 *et seq.*); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).